

1 Robert A. Mittelstaedt (SBN 060359)
Jason McDonell (SBN 115084)
2 Elaine Wallace (SBN 197882)
JONES DAY
3 555 California Street, 26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
4 Telephone: (415) 626-3939
Facsimile: (415) 875-5700
5 ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com
jmcdonell@jonesday.com
6 ewallace@jonesday.com

7 Tharan Gregory Lanier (SBN 138784)
Jane L. Froyd (SBN 220776)
8 JONES DAY
1755 Embarcadero Road
9 Palo Alto, CA 94303
Telephone: (650) 739-3939
10 Facsimile: (650) 739-3900
tglanier@jonesday.com
11 jfroyd@jonesday.com

12 Scott W. Cowan (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
Joshua L. Fuchs (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
13 JONES DAY
717 Texas, Suite 3300
14 Houston, TX 77002
Telephone: (832) 239-3939
15 Facsimile: (832) 239-3600
swcowan@jonesday.com
16 jlfuchs@jonesday.com

17 Attorneys for Defendants
SAP AG, SAP AMERICA, INC., and
18 TOMORROWNOW, INC.

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
20 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
21 OAKLAND DIVISION

22 ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,
23 Plaintiffs,
24 v.
25 SAP AG, et al.,
26 Defendants.

Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Date: May 5, 2010
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Courtroom: 3, 3rd Floor
Judge: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton

1 Having considered Defendants’ Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, the supporting
2 declarations of Tharan Gregory Lanier and Elaine Wallace, and exhibits attached thereto, which
3 were filed with the Court on March 3, 2010:

4 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: Defendants’ motion is GRANTED. Summary
5 judgment is proper when the pleadings, discovery, and affidavits show that there is “no genuine
6 issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.”
7 Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c). Based on the undisputed facts in this case, this Court grants summary
8 judgment that (1) OEMEA’s claims are wholly extraterritorial and must be dismissed; (2)
9 Plaintiffs may not recover damages of nonparties, including nonparty related companies; (3) a
10 “saved development costs” measure of damages is not permitted under any of the asserted claims;
11 and (4) Plaintiffs may not seek damages for the CDAFA and trespass to chattels claims because
12 they failed to disclose damages calculations for these claims as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14
15 DATED: _____

By: _____

Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton
United States District Court Judge

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28