

1 Robert A. Mittelstaedt (SBN 060359)  
Jason McDonell (SBN 115084)  
2 Elaine Wallace (SBN 197882)  
JONES DAY  
3 555 California Street, 26th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
4 Telephone: (415) 626-3939  
Facsimile: (415) 875-5700  
5 ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com  
jmcdonell@jonesday.com  
6 ewallace@jonesday.com

7 Tharan Gregory Lanier (SBN 138784)  
Jane L. Froyd (SBN 220776)  
8 JONES DAY  
1755 Embarcadero Road  
9 Palo Alto, CA 94303  
Telephone: (650) 739-3939  
10 Facsimile: (650) 739-3900  
tglanier@jonesday.com  
11 jfroyd@jonesday.com

12 Scott W. Cowan (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)  
Joshua L. Fuchs (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)  
13 JONES DAY  
717 Texas, Suite 3300  
14 Houston, TX 77002  
Telephone: (832) 239-3939  
15 Facsimile: (832) 239-3600  
swcowan@jonesday.com  
16 jlfuchs@jonesday.com

17 Attorneys for Defendants  
SAP AG, SAP AMERICA, INC., and  
18 TOMORROWNOW, INC.

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
20 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
21 OAKLAND DIVISION

22 ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,

23 Plaintiffs,

24 v.

25 SAP AG, et al.,

26 Defendants.

Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)

**DECLARATION OF JASON  
MCDONELL IN SUPPORT OF  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO  
COMPEL**

Date: January 26, 2010

Time: 2:00 pm

Courtroom: E, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor

Judge: Hon. Elizabeth D. Laporte

1 I, JASON MCDONELL, declare:

2 I am a partner with the law firm of Jones Day and counsel for Defendants in the above-  
3 captioned matter. I make this declaration based on personal knowledge and, if called upon to do  
4 so, could testify competently thereto.

5 1. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of the Redacted Public  
6 Version of the Second Amended Complaint for Violations of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 &  
7 17500, etc. in the matter of *PeopleSoft, Inc., et al. v. Oracle Corporation, et al.*, Case No.  
8 RG031010434.

9 2. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of the subpoena that was  
10 served on Folger Levin & Kahn LLP on or about September 22, 2009.

11 3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of Non-Party Folger Levin  
12 & Kahn LLP's Objections to Third Party Subpoena Served by Defendants.

13 4. Counsel for Plaintiffs in this action has confirmed that this motion to compel need  
14 only be directed at Oracle and Defendants do not need to move against Folger Levin & Kahn  
15 LLP. Attached hereto as **Exhibit D** is a true and correct copy of my email exchange with Geoff  
16 Howard, counsel for Oracle, ending with an email dated December 9, 2009.

17 5. Attached hereto as **Exhibit E** is a true and correct copy of Geoff Howard's  
18 October 26, 2009 letter to me.

19 6. Attached hereto as **Exhibit F** are true and correct copies of the cover page and  
20 pages 28 through 37 of the unofficial transcript of the November 17, 2009 Discovery Conference.

21 7. Attached hereto as **Exhibit G** is a true and correct copy of Geoff Howard's  
22 November 30, 2009 email to my colleague, Elaine Wallace, with attachment thereto.

23 8. Attached hereto as **Exhibit H** is a true and correct copy of my December 1, 2009  
24 email to Geoff Howard requesting production of deposition transcripts and eighty-four  
25 documents.

26 9. Attached hereto as **Exhibit I** is a true and correct copy of my December 10, 2009  
27 email to Geoff Howard revising the request for production of documents from eighty-four to  
28 sixty-four documents

1           10. I have personally participated in various discussions with counsel for Plaintiffs to  
2 attempt to resolve Plaintiff's objections to the subpoena served on Folger Levin & Kahn LLP. In  
3 those discussions, Oracle has refused to produce any of the requested documents, asserting among  
4 other things that Defendants must first identify with particularity the relevance of each requested  
5 document. Because the descriptions of the documents on the index are short and often cryptic,  
6 Defendants declined the offer as impractical and the issue was joined for this motion.

7           11. I am informed and believe that Plaintiffs have served approximately 156 third  
8 party subpoenas, compared to approximately 20 served to date by Defendants. Moreover, Oracle  
9 has served discovery on four of Defendants' outside law firms.

10           12. Attached hereto as **Exhibit J** is a true and correct copy of the parties' November  
11 2008 Expanded Discovery Timeline Agreement, which was submitted to the Court as Exhibit A  
12 to the parties' November 18, 2008 Joint Discovery Conference Statement.

13           13. Attached hereto as **Exhibit K** is a true and correct copy of my May 20, 2009 email  
14 to Oracle's counsel requesting updated productions for certain key custodians under the parties'  
15 Expanded Discovery Timeline Agreement (the "Agreement").

16           14. I personally participated in a telephonic meet and confer with Oracle's counsel on  
17 June 4, 2009 regarding various discovery matters, including the request in my May 20 email for  
18 updated custodian productions pursuant to the Agreement.

19           15. On November 17, 2009, Elaine Wallace sent a letter to Geoff Howard addressing  
20 various discovery issues and requesting confirmation that the custodian productions identified in  
21 my May 20 email had been updated under the Agreement as requested. I was copied on and have  
22 reviewed that letter.

23           16. Attached hereto as **Exhibit L** is a true and correct copy of a November 30, 2009  
24 letter from Zachary Alinder, counsel for Oracle, responding to Elaine Wallace's November 17  
25 letter.

26           17. I participated in the parties' June 4, 2009 meet and confer, which included a  
27 discussion of Defendants' request for updated custodian productions relating to the Agreement.  
28

1 Defendants' record of the outcome of that discussion is unclear. At no time, however, did  
2 Defendants agree to waive their right to obtain that updated discovery.

3 18. On December 1, 2009, Elaine Wallace sent a letter in response to Mr. Alinder's  
4 November 30 letter offering to reduce the number of key custodians from eleven to six. I was  
5 copied on and have reviewed that letter. On December 2, I personally participated in a telephonic  
6 meet and confer with Oracle's counsel in which Defendants' counsel reiterated that offer and also  
7 offered to limit the number of search terms to be used for the updated productions. Defendants'  
8 counsel also requested information regarding the locations of the custodians in order to assess the  
9 burden of collecting their documents. According to information provided by Oracle's counsel on  
10 December 2, three of the six custodians are located in California, two are in Denver, and one is no  
11 longer with Oracle, although Oracle's counsel did not indicate when he left Oracle.

12 19. On December 4, Elaine Wallace sent an email to Oracle's counsel attaching a  
13 proposed list of 71 search terms (reduced from the approximately 900 search terms previously  
14 agreed to by the parties) to be used for the updated custodian productions. A true and correct  
15 copy of that email string is attached hereto as **Exhibit M**. Oracle has not responded to the email  
16 or otherwise indicated that it has changed its position regarding Defendants' request for updated  
17 productions. The issue is thus joined for motion.

18 20. I am informed and believed, based on deposition testimony that I obtained, have  
19 reviewed, or that others have reviewed at my direction, and based on my review, or review by  
20 others at my direction, of documents produced by Oracle, that Juergen Rottler is Executive Vice  
21 President, Oracle Customer Services, with responsibility for Oracle's support services  
22 organization, including support sales, and that his document production for the original discovery  
23 time period includes thousands of pages relating to customers returning to Oracle from TN,  
24 customers lost by Oracle and efforts by Oracle to mitigate its damages, and customers gained by  
25 TN or SAP, damages causation and mitigation issues, and third party support issues.

26 21. I am informed and believed, based on deposition testimony that I obtained, have  
27 reviewed, or that others have reviewed at my direction, and based on my review, or review by  
28 others at my direction, of documents produced by Oracle, that Juan Jones is Senior Vice

1 President, Oracle Customer Services, North American Support Services, that he reports to Mr.  
2 Rottler and is responsible for sales of support services in North America, and that his document  
3 production for the original discovery time period includes thousands of pages relating to the same  
4 issues identified with respect to Mr. Rottler in paragraph 20 above.

5 22. I am informed and believed, based on deposition testimony that I obtained, have  
6 reviewed, or that others have reviewed at my direction, and based on my review, or review by  
7 others at my direction, of documents produced by Oracle, that Chris Madsen is Oracle's Senior  
8 Director, Support Services, that he reports to Mr. Jones, and that his name appears on thousands  
9 of pages of Oracle documents relating to the same issues identified with respect to Mr. Rottler in  
10 paragraph 20 above.

11 23. I am informed and believed, based on deposition testimony that I obtained, have  
12 reviewed, or that others have reviewed at my direction, and based on my review, or review by  
13 others at my direction, of documents produced by Oracle, that Rick Cummins is Oracle's Senior  
14 Director of Support Renewals for North America, that he reports to Mr. Madsen, that he was  
15 Oracle's Rule 30(b)(6) witness on topics relating to third party support providers, Oracle's  
16 communications with customers regarding third party support, and Oracle's efforts to stop  
17 customers going to third party support providers and to win customers back from third party  
18 support providers, including TomorrowNow, and that his document production for the original  
19 discovery time period includes thousands of pages relating to the same issues identified with  
20 respect to Mr. Rottler in paragraph 20 above.

21 24. I am informed and believed, based on deposition testimony that I obtained, have  
22 reviewed, or that others have reviewed at my direction, and based on my review, or review by  
23 others at my direction, of documents produced by Oracle, that Robert Lachs was an Oracle  
24 Regional Sales Manager, Support Sales, responsible for numerous support sales representatives  
25 whose territories included dozens of TomorrowNow customers, and that his document production  
26 for the original discovery time period includes thousands of pages relating to the same issues  
27 identified with respect to Mr. Rottler in paragraph 20 above.  
28

