

1 BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP  
DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257)  
2 GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468)  
HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045)  
3 ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009)  
BREE HANN (SBN 215695)  
4 Three Embarcadero Center  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067  
5 Telephone: (415) 393-2000  
Facsimile: (415) 393-2286  
6 donn.pickett@bingham.com  
geoff.howard@bingham.com  
7 holly.house@bingham.com  
zachary.alinder@bingham.com  
8 bree.hann@bingham.com

9 DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)  
JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227)  
10 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7  
Redwood City, CA 94070  
11 Telephone: (650) 506-4846  
Facsimile: (650) 506-7114  
12 dorian.daley@oracle.com  
jennifer.gloss@oracle.com

13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
14 Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International  
15 Corp., and Oracle EMEA Ltd.

JONES DAY  
ROBERT A. MITTELSTAEDT (SBN 060359)  
JASON McDONELL (SBN 115084)  
ELAINE WALLACE (SBN 197882)  
555 California Street, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Telephone: (415) 626-3939  
Facsimile: (415) 875-5700  
ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com  
jmcdonell@jonesday.com  
ewallace@jonesday.com

JONES DAY  
THARAN GREGORY LANIER (SBN 138784)  
JANE L. FROYD (SBN 220776)  
1755 Embarcadero Road  
Palo Alto, CA 94303  
Telephone: (650) 739-3939  
Facsimile: (650) 739-3900  
tglanier@jonesday.com  
jfroyd@jonesday.com

JONES DAY  
SCOTT W. COWAN (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
JOSHUA L. FUCHS (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
717 Texas, Suite 3300  
Houston, TX 77002  
Telephone: (832) 239-3939  
Facsimile: (832) 239-3600  
swcowan@jonesday.com  
jlfuchs@jonesday.com

Attorneys for Defendants  
SAP AG, SAP America, Inc., and  
TomorrowNow, Inc.

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
20 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
21 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

22 ORACLE USA, INC., *et al.*,  
23 Plaintiffs,  
24 v.  
25 SAP AG, *et al.*,  
26 Defendants.

Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)

**STIPULATION TO MODIFY MAY 5,  
2008 CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(4), Section E of this Court’s May 5, 2008 Case Management and Pretrial Order (“May 5 Case Management Order”), and Civil Local Rule 7-11, Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation, and Oracle EMEA Ltd. (“Oracle” or “Plaintiffs”) and Defendants SAP AG, SAP America, Inc., (together, “SAP”) and TomorrowNow, Inc. (with SAP AG and SAP America, Inc., “Defendants,” and together with Oracle, the “Parties”) jointly submit this stipulation in support of their joint motion to modify the Court’s May 5, 2008 Case Management and Pretrial Order (“May 5 Case Management Order”).

The Parties believe good cause exists to modify the May 5 Case Management Order, as stipulated to below. As noted in the Parties’ Joint Administrative Motion to Modify May 5, 2008 Case Management Order (the “Motion”), each of the requested modifications is the result of substantial negotiation and compromise between the Parties and is conditioned upon all of the requested modifications being accepted by the Court. In other words, the Parties respectfully request that the Court either accept or reject all of the Parties’ modifications in full. If the Court rejects the Parties’ motion in whole or in part, the Parties have agreed to reserve their rights to seek a status conference with the Court and/or file any other motion or seek any other relief relating to the case schedule, related case management efficiencies, and/or proposed pleading amendments that they may deem necessary and appropriate, with a more detailed explanation of the basis for any such request for relief than is provided in the Motion.

**NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED** by the Parties, through their respective counsel of record and in light of the good causes shown in the Motion, that the Court modify its May 5 Case Management Order as follows:

1. That the Parties now be permitted to file a total of two rounds of summary judgment motions before the close of fact discovery.
2. That the case management schedule will now reflect these dates:

| DESCRIPTION  | DEADLINE/DATE |
|--|---------------|
| Deadline to File Initial Round of Summary Judgment Motions | 08/31/09      |

|    |  |          |
|----|--|----------|
| 1  | Last Day to Identify Custodians (w/ 6 in reserve)  | 10/02/09 |
| 2  | Deadline to Designate Expert Witnesses   | 10/02/09 |
| 3  | Last Day for Hearing on Initial Round of Summary Judgment Motions  | 10/30/09 |
| 4  | Last Day to Identify Final 6 Custodians  | 11/02/09 |
| 5  | Last Day to Serve Discovery Requests   | 11/02/09 |
| 6  | Deadline to Serve Expert Reports   | 11/16/09 |
| 7  | Updated Settlement Conference Statements Due   | 11/23/09 |
| 8  | Settlement Conference  | 11/30/09 |
| 9  | Deadline to Supplement and/or Correct All Disclosures and Discovery Responses                                | 12/04/09 |
| 10 | Fact Discovery Cut-off   | 12/04/09 |
| 11 | Last Day to File Motion to Compel  | 12/11/09 |
| 12 | Deadline to Designate Rebuttal Expert Witnesses  | 01/22/10 |
| 13 | Deadline to Serve Rebuttal Expert Reports  | 02/26/10 |
| 14 | Deadline to File Second Round of Summary Judgment Motions and any other Pre-Trial Dispositive Motions        | 03/01/10 |
| 15 | Expert Discovery Cut-off   | 04/23/10 |
| 16 | Last Day for Hearing on Second Round of Summary Judgment Motions and any other Pre-Trial Dispositive Motions | 04/30/10 |
| 17 | Last Day to Meet and Confer re Joint Pretrial Statement  | 04/30/10 |
| 18 | Deadline to File Joint Pretrial Statement  | 05/07/10 |
| 19 | Deadline to File Proposed Joint Voir Dire Questions and Joint Jury Instructions                              | 05/07/10 |
| 20 | Deadline to File Trial Briefs  | 05/07/10 |
| 21 | Deadline to File Motions in Limine (all in one document)   | 05/07/10 |
| 22 | Deadline to File Deposition Excerpts   | 05/07/10 |
| 23 | Deadline to File Witness List  | 05/07/10 |
| 24 | Deadline to File Exhibit List  | 05/07/10 |
| 25 | Deadline to Exchange and Submit Exhibits (2 sets to Court)   | 05/07/10 |
| 26 | Deadline to File Any Request re: Treatment of Conf. or Sealed Docs.  | 05/07/10 |
| 27 | Deadline to File Proposed Verdict Form   | 05/07/10 |
| 28 | Deadline to File Opposition to Motions in Limine   | 05/21/10 |
|    | Pretrial Conference  | 06/03/10 |
|    | Trial Commences  | 06/21/10 |

3. That each side be allowed an additional 100 deposition hours, for a total of 450 hours.

4. That, no later than July 15, 2009, Oracle be permitted to move to amend the complaint to add Siebel-related claims (for which it is in the process of obtaining registrations) and any other claims or allegations agreed to by the Parties by that time. Defendants agree

1 not to oppose those portions of a motion filed on or before July 15, 2009 that seek to add  
2 Siebel-related claims or any other claims or allegations agreed to by the Parties prior to  
3 July 15, 2009. The Parties reserve their respective rights, defenses, and positions with  
4 respect to any other amendment.

5 **IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED** by the Parties, through their respective counsel of record  
6 and in light of the good causes shown in the Parties' Motion, that if the modifications proposed  
7 above are accepted and ordered by the Court, then the Court also consider either: (a) entering an  
8 order that accepts the Parties' agreement on the following discovery limitations that shall apply  
9 going forward; or (b) directing the Parties to submit the following agreed stipulations to Judge  
10 Laporte for her consideration. The Parties note that there are pending discovery disputes relating  
11 to the scope of the Parties' existing productions, which are not waived by this Stipulation. The  
12 Parties are not limited by this Stipulation from pursuing additional relief related to past or future  
13 discovery:

- 14 1. For purposes of the following stipulations, the phrase "relating to Siebel" used in the  
15 context of any stipulated discovery right or obligation relating to any discovery  
16 sought from Defendants shall: (a) mean documents and testimony of similar scope to  
17 those already provided by Defendants in this case relating to PeopleSoft and JD  
18 Edwards products and support; and (b) include discovery relating to Defendants'  
19 decision for TomorrowNow to provide Siebel support, the provision of third-party  
20 maintenance and related support services to Siebel customers, and the Siebel portion  
21 of Defendants' Safe Passage program to the extent that it involves entities who were  
22 customers of both TomorrowNow and SAP. For purposes of the following  
23 stipulations, the phrase "relating to Siebel" used in the context of any stipulated  
24 discovery right or obligation relating to any discovery sought from Oracle shall: (a)  
25 mean documents and testimony of similar scope to those already provided by  
26 Plaintiffs in this case relating to PeopleSoft and JD Edwards products and support;  
27 and (b) include discovery relating to Siebel software licensing, maintenance, and  
28 related support services to Siebel customers for the time frames relevant to this case,

1 whether before and after Oracle's acquisition of Siebel. Notwithstanding any of the  
2 agreements above, the Parties reserve all rights and objections regarding the proper  
3 scope of discovery from any party relating to Siebel in this case. Nothing in this  
4 Stipulation limits or prevents the Parties from continuing to meet and confer on such  
5 disputes about the proper scope of Siebel discovery, or from submitting such disputes  
6 to Judge Laporte if necessary for a ruling.

- 7 2. Each side is permitted to designate an additional 20 custodians, for a total of 140  
8 custodians per side. Up to 7 of Oracle's additional 20 custodians may be SAP  
9 custodians. The Parties will meet and confer regarding the desired custodians and  
10 reserve the right to seek further relief from Judge Laporte if an agreement cannot be  
11 reached.
- 12 3. In addition to the current expanded timeline agreement between the Parties,  
13 Defendants agree to produce additional responsive custodian documents (including  
14 those relating to Siebel) from March 22, 2007 through October 31, 2008 for Werner  
15 Brandt, Gerhard Oswald, and Thomas Bamberger, subject to Defendants' objections  
16 to any outstanding document requests.
- 17 4. Defendants agree to the following additional individual depositions with the  
18 following time limits that shall be counted against the total deposition hours available  
19 to Plaintiffs:
- 20 a. Up to four additional actual clock hours each for Shelley Nelson and James  
21 Mackey relating to Siebel and/or Defendants' post-litigation conduct through  
22 October 31, 2008, without regard to whether questions on these topics also  
23 incidentally relate to issues previously covered with these witnesses. With  
24 respect to Shelley Nelson, this additional time may also be used to address any  
25 other questions or documents relevant to the claims and defenses in this case  
26 that have not been previously asked or used in her prior depositions, with the  
27 exception of Exhibit 135.
- 28 b. Up to seven additional actual clock hours each for Werner Brandt, Gerhard

1 Oswald, and Martin Breuer relating to Siebel and/or Defendants' post-  
2 litigation conduct through October 31, 2008. The seven hours assumes that all  
3 seven hours would be conducted in German and thus, per Judge Laporte's  
4 prior ruling, would count against Plaintiffs' total allotment as 3.5 hours each.

5 5. Other than as provided in paragraph 4(a) and (b) immediately above, no depositions  
6 of individuals from either side will be re-opened without consent of the relevant party  
7 or a ruling from Judge Laporte after a showing of good cause.

8 6. All Siebel-related claims shall be asserted in an amended complaint in this action  
9 filed no later than July 15, 2009.

10 7. By June 30, 2009:

11 a. Oracle and TomorrowNow will provide dates for supplemental depositions of  
12 the Parties' corporate designees on topics relating to Siebel, subject to any and  
13 all objections the Parties may have to the deposition notices.

14 b. SAP will provide a date for a SAP Rule 30(b)(6) deposition relating to Siebel,  
15 for up to 7 hours of record time, subject to any and all objections SAP may  
16 have to the deposition notice.

17 c. SAP will provide dates for supplemental depositions of its corporate designees  
18 on topics relating to Siebel other than those addressed during the deposition  
19 permitted by paragraph 7(b) above, for up to four hours of record time  
20 combined, subject to any and all objections SAP may have to the deposition  
21 notices.

22 8. By July 15, 2009, the Parties will provide the following relating to Siebel:

23 a. For Oracle, all relevant organization charts or equivalents, acquisition  
24 documents, copyright application/registration documents, customer contracts,  
25 customer contract files, customer-specific financial and other records, and  
26 copies of software at issue (with license keys). For TomorrowNow, all  
27 relevant organization charts or equivalents, customer contracts, customer  
28 contract files, customer-specific financial and other records, and, to the extent

1 they exist in a centralized location outside of what is normally included in this  
2 case as part of an individual custodian's production, documents reflecting  
3 development and implementation of the support model for Siebel customers.  
4 For SAP, all relevant organization charts or equivalents, board-level  
5 documents, including versions of board meeting minutes and any related  
6 board presentation materials previously produced with information relating to  
7 Siebel redacted, and SAP's customer contracts, files, and financial and other  
8 records for any Siebel customer that was recruited through Safe Passage and  
9 was also both a SAP and TomorrowNow customer. All Parties reserve all  
10 rights and objections to all document requests and all Parties reserve all rights  
11 and objections regarding the proper scope of discovery from any party relating  
12 to Safe Passage in this case. To the extent any dispute arises regarding that  
13 scope, then it will be subject to further meet and confer and, if necessary,  
14 presented to Judge Laporte for a ruling.

- 15 b. For Oracle, all licenses (including exclusive and nonexclusive licenses),  
16 assignment agreements, or other agreements relevant to rights to use and/or  
17 ownership of the software and/or copyrighted material at issue. Oracle  
18 reserves its right to raise the same objections previously raised to these  
19 requests.
- 20 c. For Oracle, as to any copyrighted works claimed to be derivative works,  
21 identification and copies of the underlying work(s) and a list of Plaintiffs'  
22 products embodying, including, or constituting any copyrighted works at  
23 issue. Oracle reserves its right to raise the same objections previously raised  
24 to these requests.
- 25 d. For Oracle, Siebel financial information (including chart of accounts and other  
26 such information produced as to PeopleSoft and JD Edwards products). For  
27 Defendants and relating to Siebel, financial information (including chart of  
28 accounts and other such information produced as to PeopleSoft and JD

1 Edwards products). All Parties reserve their right to raise the same objections  
2 previously raised to these requests.

3 e. Defendants have given or will give Oracle access to all servers, CDs, DVDs,  
4 and other portable media (besides backup tapes) relating to Siebel that after a  
5 reasonable search Defendants are aware of at TomorrowNow, and will not  
6 separately produce all environments or environment components relating to  
7 Siebel on those servers or any other media. If Oracle locates any environment  
8 components in the Data Warehouse and marks them for production, then  
9 Defendants will produce the files they mark, subject to a privilege review.  
10 Defendants will also produce all TomorrowNow fixes provided to Siebel  
11 customers, assuming such fixes can be located and are kept in a central  
12 location. If such fixes are kept on a server in the Data Warehouse, then  
13 Oracle can mark them for production as part of the Data Warehouse review.

14 f. Each side may identify 20 interrogatory responses that it would like updated  
15 in scope consistent with the additional Siebel discovery permitted or required  
16 elsewhere in this Stipulation. The Parties reserve all objections to any and all  
17 such interrogatories.

18 9. Defendants have provided declarations stating that neither SAP, TomorrowNow, nor  
19 any SAP subsidiary provided any third-party maintenance and related support  
20 services for eBusiness Suite, Retek, or Hyperion (the "HRE Products"). Oracle has  
21 reviewed these declarations and agrees it will not propound further discovery in this  
22 case relating to the HRE Products. Defendants agree not to object to providing  
23 documents or testimony relating to the JD Edwards, PeopleSoft, or Siebel products on  
24 the grounds that any such documents or testimony relating directly to those three  
25 products also contain information relating to the HRE Products. Oracle agrees that it  
26 will not seek to amend its complaint in this case to include new claims attempting to  
27 add the HRE Products, but will reserve its rights to file a separate lawsuit relating to  
28 the HRE Products at another time, should it obtain any information supporting such

1 claims. Defendants agree not to assert that any such subsequent claims regarding the  
2 HRE Products are improper by using an argument that relies on the fact that those  
3 claims were not asserted in this lawsuit.

4  
5 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

6 DATED: May 12, 2009

7 BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP

8 By: \_\_\_\_\_ /s/  
9 Geoffrey M. Howard  
10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
11 Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International  
Corporation, and Oracle EMEA, Ltd.

12 In accordance with General Order No. 45, Rule X, the above signatory attests that  
13 concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatory below.

14 DATED: May 12, 2009

15 JONES DAY

16 By: \_\_\_\_\_ /s/  
17 Tharan Gregory Lanier  
18 Attorneys for Defendants  
19 SAP AG, SAP America, Inc.,  
and TomorrowNow, Inc.