1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Robert A. Mittelstaedt (SBN 060359) Jason McDonell (SBN 115084) Elaine Wallace (SBN 197882) JONES DAY 555 California Street, 26 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 626-3939 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700 ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com jmcdonell@jonesday.com ewallace@jonesday.com  Tharan Gregory Lanier (SBN 138784) Jane L. Froyd (SBN 220776) JONES DAY 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: (650) 739-3939 Facsimile: (650) 739-3900 tglanier@jonesday.com	
11	jfroyd@jonesday.com	
12 13	Scott W. Cowan (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) Joshua L. Fuchs (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) JONES DAY	
14 15 16	717 Texas, Suite 3300 Houston, TX 77002 Telephone: (832) 239-3939 Facsimile: (832) 239-3600 swcowan@jonesday.com jlfuchs@jonesday.com	
17 18	Attorneys for Defendants SAP AG, SAP AMERICA, INC., and TOMORROWNOW, INC.	
19 20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION	
21	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL)
22	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF MARCIN PLONKA IN SUPPORT OF
23	v.	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY EXECUTION OF JUDGMENT
24	SAP AG, et al.,	THROUGH APPEAL AND APPROVAL OF PROPOSED
25	Defendants.	SECURITY PURSUANT TO FRCP 62
26		Date: May 4, 2011 Time: 9:00 a.m.
27		Courtroom: 3, 3rd Floor Judge: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton
28	4	N ONE A DEGLACO DEPARTMENT
	SVI-91061v1	PLONKA DECL. ISO DEFS.' MOT. TO STAY EXECUTION OF JUDGMENT THROUGH APPEAL

JUDGMENT THROUGH APPEAL Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH (EDL) 5

3

10

8

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

I, Marcin Plonka, declare as follows:

I make this declaration based on personal knowledge and, if called upon to do so, could testify competently thereto.

- 1. I am the Corporate Risk Manager, Americas Region at SAP AG.
- 2. On behalf of the Defendants in the above-captioned action, I have inquired with at least 15 different surety companies to determine the cost of obtaining a supersedeas bond in the amount of \$1,325,033,547. I have diligently sought out the lowest-cost options for a supersedeas bond of that size.
- 3. Through my inquiries, I have learned that Defendants would likely be required to contract with several surety companies to obtain a supersedeas bond for the entire \$1,325,033,547. Each surety company would require, or at least reserve the right to require, cash collateral or other in rem security from SAP AG and its affiliates before issuing a bond.
- 4. After seeking price quotes from numerous bonding companies, I have learned that it could cost up to \$25 million annually for Defendants to obtain a supersedeas bond in the amount of \$1,325,033,547. Even a fully collateralized bond would cost Defendants millions of dollars annually in fees.
- 5. It would save the Defendants millions of dollars if they could secure the judgment in this case through an escrow agreement as opposed to a supersedeas bond.
- 6. Should Defendants be required to obtain a supersedeas bond, it would take some time to obtain the necessary signatures from the Defendants and likely multiple surety companies providing the bond, as well as to attend to other administrative issues. I estimate that the administrative process of obtaining the bond could take 14 days after the Court's order is entered.
- 7. Similarly, if the Court approves the Proposed Escrow Agreement attached to Defendants' Motion to Stay Execution of Judgment Through Appeal and Approval of Security Pursuant to FRCP 62, it will take some time to execute the escrow agreement and arrange to fund the escrow account. I estimate that the process of executing the escrow agreement and funding the escrow account could take 14 days after the Court's order is entered.

## Case4:07-cv-01658-PJH Document1053 Filed03/24/11 Page3 of 3

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 24th day of March, 2011 in Newtown Square, PA. 

PLONKA DECL. ISO DEFS,' MOT, TO STAY EXECUTION OF JUDGMENT Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH